

Exhibit 2



**Transcript of Proceedings had in
Kathleen Koch v. Vantage Specialty Chemicals,
Inc.; et al.**

Taken On: August 28, 2024

Royal Reporting Services, Inc.
Phone: 312.361.8851
Email: info@royalreportingservices.com
Website: royalreportingservices.com

Kathleen Koch v. Vantage Specialty Chemicals, Inc.; et al.
Proceedings had on 8/28/2024

Page 1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

KATHLEEN KOCH,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 23 L 686
)	
VANTAGE SPECIALTY)	All Consolidated
CHEMICALS, INC.; et al.,)	Cases
)	
Defendants.)	

Report of proceedings had at the hearing in
the above-entitled cause before the HONORABLE JOHN H.
EHRLICH, Judge of said Court, at Richard J. Daley
Center, 50 West Washington Street, Courtroom 2306,
Chicago, Illinois, commencing at 8:32 a.m. on
August 28th, 2024.

Kathleen Koch v. Vantage Specialty Chemicals, Inc.; et al.
Proceedings had on 8/28/2024

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES:

2 STINAR GOULD GRIECO & HENSLEY
3 MR. BRYCE T. HENSLEY
4 101 North Wacker Drive
5 Suite 100
6 Chicago, Illinois 60606
7 Phone: 312.748.7338
8 E-mail: bryce@sgghlaw.com

9 On behalf of the Plaintiffs;

10
11 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
12 MR. BRENDAN E. RYAN
13 333 West Wolf Point Plaza
14 Chicago, Illinois 60654
15 Phone: 312.862.2000
16 E-mail: brendan.ryan@kirkland.com

17 and

18 ROPES & GRAY LLP
19 MR. NICHOLAS M. BERG
20 191 North Wacker Drive
21 32nd Floor
22 Chicago, Illinois 60606
23 Phone: 312.845.1200
24 E-mail: nicholas.berg@ropesgray.com

On behalf of the Defendant Vantage Specialty
Chemicals, Inc.;

Kathleen Koch v. Vantage Specialty Chemicals, Inc.; et al.
Proceedings had on 8/28/2024

Page 3

1 APPEARANCES (continued):

2 PEDERSEN & HOUP
3 MR. CHARLES M. GERING
4 MR. ANTHONY DeMARCO PESCE
5 161 North Clark Street
6 Suite 2700
7 Chicago, Illinois 60601
8 Phone: 312.641.6888
9 E-mail: cgering@pedersenhaupt.com
10 apesce@pedersenhaupt.com

11 On behalf of the Defendant Cosmed Group, Inc.;

12 JONES DAY
13 MR. PHILIP M. OLISS
14 MR. ALEXANDER W. PRUNKA
15 901 Lakeside Avenue
16 Cleveland, Ohio 44114
17 Phone: 216.586.3939
18 E-mail: poliss@jonesday.com
19 aprunka@jonesday.com

20 On behalf of the Defendant STERIS Isomedix
21 Services, Inc.;

22 K&L GATES LLP
23 MR. KENN BROTMAN
24 70 West Madison Street
Suite 3300
Chicago, Illinois 60602
Phone: 312.372.1121
E-mail: kenn.brotman@klgates.com

On behalf of PPG Industries, Inc.;

DLA PIPER
MR. JOSEPH A. ROSELIUS
444 West Lake Street
Suite 900
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Phone: 312.368.7034
E-mail: joseph.roselius@dlapiper.com

On behalf of BASF.

24

Kathleen Koch v. Vantage Specialty Chemicals, Inc.; et al.
 Proceedings had on 8/28/2024

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 24</p> <p>1 at this because it is -- it appears to be a case that</p> <p>2 was dismissed.</p> <p>3 THE COURT: Why don't we do this. Look it over.</p> <p>4 If the statute is running between now and next week --</p> <p>5 MR. HENSLEY: Okay.</p> <p>6 THE COURT: -- get it decided. And you can e-mail</p> <p>7 it in, and I will get it entered for you.</p> <p>8 MR. OLISS: Thank you.</p> <p>9 THE COURT: Otherwise, we will look at it next</p> <p>10 week.</p> <p>11 MR. OLISS: Thank you, Your Honor.</p> <p>12 THE COURT: Anything else?</p> <p>13 MR. OLISS: I do have one other issue, Your Honor.</p> <p>14 We had previously -- we discussed a little bit last</p> <p>15 week -- filed a motion in order to get a date certain</p> <p>16 for filing of contribution claims, counterclaims. There</p> <p>17 was some discussion on it -- about it last week. We</p> <p>18 have not heard any further objection or any request that</p> <p>19 the proposed order be modified in any way. I don't know</p> <p>20 if there is anything anybody wants to say on it, but we</p> <p>21 still got that request in front of you. I think you</p> <p>22 indicated last week you were inclined to enter it, and</p> <p>23 then there was some discussion --</p> <p>24 THE COURT: Right.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26</p> <p>1 entered either Monday or Tuesday.</p> <p>2 MR. RYAN: Got it. Okay. We'll check again.</p> <p>3 THE COURT: If not, have her send it over again,</p> <p>4 because I don't have a problem with that.</p> <p>5 MR. RYAN: Okay. Great.</p> <p>6 THE COURT: I thought I gave that to</p> <p>7 Lamont (phonetic) Monday or Tuesday. Let me just check</p> <p>8 to see if it's in this stack.</p> <p>9 No. I think it was entered; but again, if</p> <p>10 not, have her send it over again.</p> <p>11 MR. RYAN: We'll take a look. Thank you,</p> <p>12 Your Honor.</p> <p>13 MR. HENSLEY: Your Honor, I have just a little bit</p> <p>14 more information on that Carney case. I believe the</p> <p>15 SOL is running this weekend. And from my understanding,</p> <p>16 this was one of the cases that if you dismissed it --</p> <p>17 you had granted leave to amend to add the diagnosis</p> <p>18 date, and I believe that we since have done that. So</p> <p>19 that's the issue, I guess. I will give counsel the</p> <p>20 opportunity to review it, but we'll probably reach out</p> <p>21 to you tomorrow with an update on that to get that order</p> <p>22 entered if --</p> <p>23 THE COURT: That's fine.</p> <p>24 Do you have a copy of this, Counsel?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 25</p> <p>1 MR. OLISS: -- and we were going to hear from folks</p> <p>2 if they had a problem with it. We have not heard</p> <p>3 anything further.</p> <p>4 This is the proposed order.</p> <p>5 (Document tendered.)</p> <p>6 THE COURT: Thank you.</p> <p>7 I know we discussed that last week, and I just</p> <p>8 wanted to make sure that we are all okay with it. So I</p> <p>9 have no problem with any contribution claims. So that</p> <p>10 will be entered as well.</p> <p>11 MR. OLISS: Thank you, Your Honor.</p> <p>12 THE COURT: Anything else?</p> <p>13 MR. RYAN: One other item, Your Honor. My</p> <p>14 colleague -- This is Brendan Ryan on behalf of Vantage.</p> <p>15 My colleague, Katie Welch, sent you the order</p> <p>16 that was discussed last week with regard to this Michael</p> <p>17 Moskowitz case that should be dismissed without</p> <p>18 prejudice for want of prosecution. She sent you that</p> <p>19 order, I believe late last week or -- late last week.</p> <p>20 I don't think it's been entered yet. I don't have it</p> <p>21 with me, but --</p> <p>22 THE COURT: I thought it was.</p> <p>23 MR. RYAN: If it was entered, we'll check again.</p> <p>24 THE COURT: Check again, because I believe it was</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 27</p> <p>1 MR. OLISS: I think we got one by e-mail.</p> <p>2 MR. HENSLEY: We e-mailed it, yeah.</p> <p>3 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't -- I will hold onto</p> <p>4 this one; and that way you can just say you've agreed to</p> <p>5 it, assuming you agree to it, and then I can just enter</p> <p>6 the order.</p> <p>7 MR. HENSLEY: Great.</p> <p>8 THE COURT: Okay. I'm assuming that's going to be</p> <p>9 okay.</p> <p>10 MR. OLISS: I don't know, Your Honor. I mean, it</p> <p>11 was dismissed, and it was dismissed for a reason. And</p> <p>12 that reason, I believe, was that it did not -- this</p> <p>13 individual didn't allege exposure during the period of</p> <p>14 ownership of -- certainly of Isomedix. I believe it was</p> <p>15 Cosmed as well. So there is a material issue with this</p> <p>16 plaintiff, and that's why we moved to dismiss the claim.</p> <p>17 So if he has not cured that, then we would oppose it.</p> <p>18 THE COURT: Well, again, chat about that in the</p> <p>19 meantime and follow up with me tomorrow or Friday.</p> <p>20 I will give you both days.</p> <p>21 Anything else?</p> <p>22 What about the next week? Are we meeting next</p> <p>23 week, our usual Wednesday, or are things...</p> <p>24 MR. OLISS: We do have expert depositions next</p>